Unverdorben, Martin

From: Nancy Wang 437-4850 [Nancy.Wang@mdsps.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2003 6:45 PM
To: qiwei liang; martin unverdorben; hans-juergen roethig
Subject: HL28030 additional biomarkers

Sensitivity: Confidential

Qiwei and Martin:

The summarization of free NNAL and 1-OHP are being QCed and will be sent to you tomorrow. After your review, these additional biomarkers will be included into the report. Is it OK to put them at the end of section 14.2 so that the numbering from before will not change? Or we can put them at the end of the biomarkers but before other assessments to make it flow better with adjustment only to the numbering of the other assessment tables? Please think about it and let me know which way is acceptable. We would like to minimize the amount of re-arranging, if possible, without sacrificing the readability of the report.

Thanks.

Nancy

PM3005219801

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/hklj0001